## MATH 245 S17, Exam 1 Solutions

1. Carefully define the following terms: composite, conjunction, tautology, Double Negation semantic theorem Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n \geq 2$. We call $n$ composite if there is some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $1<a<n$ and $a \mid n$. Let $p, q$ be propositions. Their conjunction is the proposition that is true if $p, q$ are both true, and false otherwise. A tautology is a (compound) proposition that is always true. The Double Negation semantic theorem states that for every proposition $p$, we have $\neg(\neg p) \equiv p$.
2. Carefully define the following terms: Addition semantic theorem, Trivial Proof theorem, Direct Proof, converse.

The Addition semantic theorem states that for any propositions $p, q$, we have $p \vdash p \vee q$. The Trivial Proof theorem states that for any propositions $p, q$, we have $q \vdash p \rightarrow q$. The Direct Proof theorem states that for any propositions $p, q$, if $p \vdash q$ is valid, then $p \rightarrow q$ is true. The converse of conditional proposition $p \rightarrow q$ is $q \rightarrow p$.
3. Calculate and simplify $\frac{(\lfloor 13.9\rfloor+\lfloor-1.2\rfloor)!}{\sqrt{~} .4\rceil!}$.

We have $\frac{(\lfloor 13.9\rfloor+\lfloor-1.2\rfloor)!}{\lceil 8.4\rceil!}=\frac{(13-2)!}{9!}=\frac{11!}{9!}=\frac{11 \cdot 10 \cdot 9!}{9!}=11 \cdot 10=110$.
4. Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose that $a \mid b$ and $a \mid c$. Prove that $a \mid(b+c)$.

Because $a \mid b$ there is some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $b=m a$. Because $a \mid c$ there is some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $c=n a$. Adding, we get $b+c=m a+n a=(m+n) a$. Now $a \mid(b+c)$ because $m+n \in \mathbb{Z}$.
5. Use truth tables to prove the half of De Morgan's Law which states that for any propositions $p, q$ we have $\neg(p \vee q) \equiv(\neg p) \wedge(\neg q)$.

| $p$ | $q$ | $p \vee q$ | $\neg(p \vee q)$ | $\neg p$ | $\neg q$ | $(\neg p) \wedge(\neg q)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | T | T | F | F | F | F |
| T | F | T | F | F | T | F |
| F | T | T | F | T | F | F |
| F | F | F | T | T | T | T |

The fourth and seventh columns, as highlighted, agree. Hence $\neg(p \vee q) \equiv(\neg p) \wedge(\neg q)$.
6. Simplify $\neg((p \rightarrow q) \wedge r)$ as much as possible. (i.e. where only basic propositions are negated)

We start with $\neg((p \rightarrow q) \wedge r)$. Applying conditional interpretation, this is equivalent to $\neg((q \vee \neg p) \wedge r)$. Applying De Morgan's Law, this is equivalent to $(\neg(q \vee \neg p)) \vee(\neg r)$. Applying De Morgan's Law again, this is equivalent to $((\neg q) \wedge \neg(\neg p)) \vee(\neg r)$. Finally, applying double negation, this is equivalent to $((\neg q) \wedge p) \vee(\neg r)$.
7. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Prove that if $x$ is irrational then $\frac{x}{3}$ is irrational.

We use a contrapositive proof. Assume that $\frac{x}{3}$ is rational. Then there are integers $m, n$, with $n \neq 0$, such that $\frac{x}{3}=\frac{m}{n}$. Multiplying both sides by 3 we get $x=\frac{3 m}{n}$. Now, $3 m, n$ are integers with $n \neq 0$, so $x$ is rational.
8. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose that $n$ is even. Prove that $3 n^{2}+1$ is odd.

We use a direct proof. Suppose that $n$ is even. Then there is an integer $m$ with $n=2 m$. Now, $3 n^{2}+1=$ $3(2 m)^{2}+1=3\left(4 m^{2}\right)+1=2\left(6 m^{2}\right)+1$. Because $6 m^{2}$ is an integer, $3 n^{2}+1$ is odd.
9. Using semantic theorems, prove that for any propositions $p, q, r$, we have $((p \vee q) \vee r),(\neg q) \vdash p \vee r$.

Start with hypothesis $(p \vee q) \vee r$. Applying commutativity of $\vee$, we get $(q \vee p) \vee r$. Applying associativity of $\vee$, we get $q \vee(p \vee r)$. Now apply disjunctive syllogism to this and to hypothesis $\neg q$ to get $p \vee r$.
10. Using semantic theorems, prove that for any propositions $p, q, r$, we have $(p \rightarrow q),(q \rightarrow r) \vdash(p \rightarrow r)$.

If $q$ is true, then applying modus ponens to hypothesis $q \rightarrow r$ gives $r$. Applying addition gives $r \vee \neg p$.
If instead $q$ is false, then applying modus tollens to hypothesis $p \rightarrow q$ gives $\neg p$. Applying addition gives $r \vee \neg p$.
Either way we have $r \vee \neg p$. Applying conditional interpretation we get $p \rightarrow r$.

